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## Detection of redox-switchable ring current effects


#### Abstract

This paper presents a novel series of chemically stable and redox-switchable $20 \pi, 19 \pi$, and $18 \pi 5,10,15,20$-tetraaryl5,15 -diazaporphyrinoids (TADAPs) that have two alkyl-chain straps above and below the diazaporphyrin ring. Three types of doubly strapped TADAPs were prepared as nickel(II) complexes using meso-N-(2,6-dihydroxyphenyl)-substituted TADAP and the corresponding aliphatic diacids as precursors. Theoretical calculations revealed that regardless of their oxidation states, all strapped TADAPs had essentially flat $\pi$-planes. It was found that the alkyl-chain straps slightly affected the optical and electrochemical properties of the DAP rings, particularly in the oxidized forms. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy was used to evaluate the antiaromatic character of the $20 \pi$ TADAPs and the aromatic character of the $18 \pi$ TADAP dications, and it was observed that they displayed paratropic and diatropic ring-current effects, respectively, on the chemical shifts of methylene protons in the spatially separated alkyl chains. The degree of shielding and deshielding depended on the position of the methylene units; it decreased with increase in separation from the $\pi$-plane and central axis of the porphyrin ring. The NMR experiments also revealed that the degree of the diatropic ring currents was clearly related to the $\pi$-electron density of the porphyrin ring; the ring-current effects decreased as the charge increased from 0 to +2 . These findings are also qualitatively supported by the nucleus-independent chemical shifts.


## INTRODUCTION

Aromatic-antiaromatic switching of $\pi$-conjugated organic compounds has received considerable attention in the fields of structural and synthetic chemistry. ${ }^{1-6}$ Porphyrins have been widely used as reliable platforms for identifying the aromaticity of $(4 n+2) \pi$ and $4 n \pi$ macrocycles because their redox properties can be finely and systematically tuned either by chemical modification of the periphery or core-nitrogen atoms or by ring expansion. ${ }^{7-12}$ In particular, significant attention has been dedicated toward the antiaromatic character of doubly reduced, $20 \pi$ porphyrins. ${ }^{10,11}$ The magnetic criteria of aromaticity are generally evaluated by NMR spectroscopy. ${ }^{13}$ For example, Müllen et al. reported on the NMR spectra of potassium and lithium salts of $20 \pi$ porphyrin dianions, wherein the peripheral pyrrolic- $\beta$ and meso protons were observed at significantly higher fields than those of the $18 \pi$ counterparts, with a difference of $9.9-10.4 \mathrm{ppm}$ in the chemical shifts. ${ }^{14}$ However, such anionic porphyrins are difficult to isolate and
characterize under ambient conditions because of their extreme instability toward air and moisture. To overcome this limitation, several elaborate approaches, such as N alkylation, ${ }^{15-17}$ core modification, ${ }^{18-20}$ peripheral functionalization, ${ }^{21}$ and metal insertion, ${ }^{22-24}$ have been developed to isolate $20 \pi$ porphyrins in their neutral state. In many cases, however, the attachment of an excessive number of substituents causes the porphyrin ring to be severely distorted, thus impeding the experimental evaluation of the magnitudes of aromaticity and antiaromaticity associated with the $18 \pi-$ $20 \pi$ redox processes. Therefore, the construction of a stable
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## Scheme 1. Interconversion of TADAPs


and flat porphyrin platform that is interconvertible between the $18 \pi$ and $20 \pi$ electron systems remains a challenge for the evaluation of porphyrin-induced paratropic and diatropic ring currents.

Partial replacement of the meso units of a porphyrin ring with nitrogen atoms lowers its molecular symmetry and stabilizes the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of its $\pi$-electron system. ${ }^{25-28}$ For example, the redox potentials of 5,15diazaporphyrins (DAPs) differ substantially from those of their porphyrin counterparts. ${ }^{28}$ Taking this into consideration, we recently reported on a new approach to stabilize $20 \pi$ porphyrins by replacing two meso carbon atoms with two nitrogen atoms in 5,10,15,20-tetraarylporphyrin, thus altering the net charge of the porphyrin ring by two electrons. ${ }^{29}$ Remarkably, several metal complexes and freebases of 5,10,15,20-tetraaryl-5,15-diazaporphyrinoids (TADAPs), namely, P1, P2, and P3 (Scheme 1; M = Ni, Cu, Zn, 2H), were obtained as air-stable solids. ${ }^{29-31}$ Most importantly, these TADAPs maintain highly flat $\pi$-planes and involve the same $\pi$ conjugation pathway, as described in Scheme 1. In this regard, P1 is fairly different from the previously reported neutral $20 \pi$ porphyrins that contain formal isophlorin frameworks passing through an outer $20 \pi$ molecular perimeter. ${ }^{32}$
${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectroscopy was used to evaluate the antiaromatic and aromatic characters of the reversibly redox-switchable TADAPs, P1 and P2. It was observed that the chemical shifts of pyrrolic- $\beta$ protons in P1 and P2 appeared at high and low fields, respectively, and the difference between these chemical shifts was approximately $4.0-5.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ (for $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ni}$ ). However, care should be taken when using chemical shifts of the peripheral protons as aromaticity indices because they are associated not only with the ring-current effects but also with the through-bond inductive/resonance effects. Presumably, the extent of the additional through-bond effects would be lower for the alkyl-chain straps located above and below a DAP ring. Thus, the quantification of the magnitude of aromaticity, based on the global ring-current effects arising from the $20 \pi$ and $18 \pi$ DAP rings, would be more precise if spatially separated alkyl chains are used as indicators. ${ }^{33}$ On the basis of this presumption, we designed a series of novel TADAPs with alkyl-chain straps connected to the meso- N -aryl substituents via
ester linkages. This paper presents the first examples of doubly strapped TADAPs and discusses their aromaticity.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis. Scheme 2 illustrates the synthesis of oligo-methylene-strapped TADAPs (C $n$-strapped TADAPs; $n=7,8$, 9 ) as nickel(II) complexes in the $20 \pi(7), 19 \pi(8)$, and $18 \pi$ (9) forms. Metal-templated cyclization of bis[1-chloro-9-(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)amino-5-mesityldipyrrin]-nickel(II) complex 1 (mesityl = 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl) was performed in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide (DMF) at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to produce $20 \pi$ TADAP 2. O-Demethylation of 2 with excess $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ afforded meso-N-2,6-dihydroxyphenyl derivative 3 with $19 \pi$ electrons. O-Acylation of 3 with a mixture of excess acetic anhydride and pyridine afforded meso-$N$-2,6-diacetoxyphenyl derivative 4 , a $19 \pi$ TADAP radical cation, which was then quantitatively converted to the $20 \pi$ TADAP 5 and the $18 \pi$ TADAP dication 6 by treatment with cobaltocene $\left(\mathrm{CoCp}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}$, respectively. To increase its solubility, 3 was oxidized to the $18 \pi$ structure with $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}$. Subsequently, it was condensed with 2 equiv of nonanedioic acid in the presence of $N, N^{\prime}$-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) in tetrahydrofuran (THF) to produce C 7 -strapped $20 \pi$ TADAP $7-\mathrm{C} 7$ that has two $-\mathrm{OOC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{7} \mathrm{COO}-$ bridges above and below the TADAP skeleton in $22 \%$ yield. Treatment of $7-\mathrm{C} 7$ with $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ yielded the $19 \pi$ TADAP radical cation 8-C7, which was further converted to the $18 \pi$ TADAP dication 9-C7 by the sequential one-electron oxidation reaction with $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}$. C8-strapped and C9-strapped TADAPs (7/8/9-C8 and 7/8/ 9-C9, respectively) were prepared from 3 and the corresponding diacids by following a similar procedure (Scheme 2). All $18 \pi$ TADAPs were reversibly converted to the corresponding $20 \pi$ TADAPs by treatment with $\mathrm{CoCp}_{2}$ (checked by UV-vis absorption spectroscopy). Both nonstrapped and strapped TADAPs were isolated as air-stable solids by column chromatography and/or recrystallization from the appropriate solvents. ${ }^{34}$

Scheme 3 illustrates the synthesis of the reference porphyrins. The nickel(II) complex of 5,15 -bis(2,6-dihydrox-yphenyl)-10,20-dimesitylporphyrin 11 was prepared by the metallation of freebase $10^{35}$ with $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Non-

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Strapped TADAPs

(a) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$.(b)(i) $(\mathrm{MeCO})_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pyridine;(ii) $\mathrm{KPF}_{6}$.(c) $\mathrm{CoCp}_{2}$.
(d) 1 equiv. $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}$ from 4; 2 equiv. $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}$ from 5.



strapped $18 \pi$ porphyrin 12 and strapped $18 \pi$ porphyrins 13C $\boldsymbol{n}(n=7,8,9)$ were obtained by the acylation of 11 with acetic anhydride and the corresponding diacid dichlorides, respectively. Diphenyl diesters 14-C $\boldsymbol{n}$ ( $n=7,8$, 9; Figure 4) were also prepared as references from the corresponding aliphatic diacid chlorides and phenol (see the Experimental Section).

Structures. The structures of the novel TADAPs and the reference compounds were characterized by NMR and IR spectroscopies and high-resolution electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectrometry. The ESI mass spectra revealed that
the base peaks corresponded to either the molecular ions (for 7-Cn) or the fragment cations (for 8-Cn and 9-Cn). The IR spectra displayed the peaks corresponding to the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching bands of 7-Cn, 9-Cn, and 13-Cn at higher frequencies ( $1758-1770 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) than those of $14-\mathrm{C} \boldsymbol{n}$ (1745$1749 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). X-ray crystallography was used to elucidate the crystal structures of 7-C8 and 7-C9 although the quality of their crystallographic data is not at a publishable level. ${ }^{36}$ The currently available data (not reported herein) revealed the following structural features. (i) Both 7-C8 and 7-C9 have flat DAP $\pi$-planes, as observed for the previously reported $20 \pi$


TADAP P1 ( $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ni} ; 5,15-\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{EBuC} \mathrm{H}_{4} ; 10,20-\mathrm{Ar}=$ mesityl). ${ }^{28}$ (ii) The meso-aryl rings are almost perpendicular to the DAP ring, suggesting that there is an insignificant amount of $\pi$-conjugative interaction between the meso-aryl groups and the DAP ring. (iii) The $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ units of the ortho-ester groups are twisted away from the adjacent benzene rings. (iv) The bridging alkyl chains have essentially anti- or gauchestaggered conformation.

To further examine the structures of TADAPs 5-9 and porphyrins 12 and 13, density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level of theory. The structural parameters of 7-C8 and 7-C9 obtained by X-ray crystallographic analysis were used for setting their initial structures. The optimized structures of 7C8 and 7-C9 were further used as models for examining the C8- and C9-strapped derivatives. The structures of the C7strapped and nonstrapped derivatives were optimized by various global symmetries $\left(C_{i}, C_{2}\right.$, and $\left.C_{1}\right)$. Although several metastable structures with small differences in energy ( $<1 \mathrm{kcal}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) were predicted by DFT calculations, the bond parameters of their porphyrin rings were almost identical to each other. The optimized structures of 7-Cn with their point groups are presented in Figure 1, and all of the structures,


Figure 1. Optimized structures of (a) 7-C7 $\left(C_{i}\right)$, (b) 7-C8 $\left(C_{i}\right)$, and (c) 7-C9 $\left(C_{1}\right)$ calculated by the DFT method. Front views (left) and side views (right).
along with some selected orbital diagrams, are shown in Figures S1-S4 in the Supporting Information (SI). The bond lengths, bond angles, and torsion angles are summarized in Tables S1 and S2 in the SI.

The structural information obtained by the DFT calculations is as follows. (i) Regardless of the oxidation state, alkyl-chain length, and molecular symmetry, the DAP rings in the strapped derivatives are essentially flat. (ii) The in-plane $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {meso }}-\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {meso }}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angles of 7 $\mathrm{C} \boldsymbol{n}, \mathbf{9 - C} \boldsymbol{n}$, and $13-\mathrm{C} \boldsymbol{n}$ are in good accordance with those of 5, 6, and 12, respectively. (iii) In the bridging alkyl chains, the two adjacent methylene units adopt anti- or gauche-staggered conformation. (iv) The carbonyl groups of the ortho-ester linkages are twisted to maintain the staggered conformation of the alkyl chains. This result is consistent with the previously mentioned IR observations as the distortion of the ortho-ester moieties may weaken the resonance interactions between the carbonyl and phenoxy groups. (v) The meso-mesityl rings are perpendicular to the DAP/porphyrin rings, whereas the meso-2,6-diacyloxyphenyl groups in 6 and the C7-strapped derivatives are inclined toward the rings. The C7-strapped derivatives show the most significant inclination in each oxidation state. (vi) Depending on the oxidation states and charges of the porphyrin rings, the positions of the methylene units differ slightly in 7-Cn, 9-Cn, and 13-Cn, possessing the same alkyl-chain straps (Table S2 and Figure S5 in the SI). These structural features are significantly related to the magnitude of ring-current effects caused by the DAP/ porphyrin rings (vide infra).

Optical and Electrochemical Properties. The optical and electrochemical properties of the TADAPs and the reference porphyrins were investigated by ultraviolet/visible/ near-infrared (UV-vis-NIR) absorption spectroscopy (Figure 2) and cyclic voltammetry (Figure 3), and the data are summarized in Table 1. The UV-vis-NIR spectra of the strapped TADAPs 7-Cn, 8-Cn, and 9-C $n$ were similar to those of the nonstrapped TADAPs 5,4 , and 6 , respectively. The $20 \pi$ TADAPs 7-Cn exhibited two intense absorption bands with the absorption maxima ( $\lambda_{\max }$ ) of 443-444 and 522-523 nm, whereas the $18 \pi$ dications 9-Cn exhibited two intense bands with $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ of 394-397 and 631-651 nm. The Q-like bands of $9-\mathrm{C} \boldsymbol{n}$ were red-shifted by $1670-2100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ relative to the corresponding $Q$ bands of $13-\mathrm{C} \boldsymbol{n}\left(\lambda_{\max }=558 \mathrm{~nm}\right.$ ), indicating that the optical HOMO-LUMO gaps of the $18 \pi$ TADAP dications were substantially smaller than those of the isoelectronic porphyrins. The main characteristics of the $\pi-\pi^{*}$ electronic excitations in the $20 \pi, 19 \pi$, and $18 \pi$ TADAPs were previously assigned by the time-dependent DFT calculations. ${ }^{30}$ The lowest-energy absorption bands of 8-C7 (SOMO-1-to-SOMO excitation; SOMO: singly occupied molecular orbital) and 9-C7 (HOMO-to-LUMO excitation) are slightly broader and red-shifted compared with those of 8 -C8/8-C9 and 9-C8/9-C9, respectively. Electrochemical data, discussed in the following section, suggest that shorter alkyl chains may perturb the orbital energies of the cationic DAP rings, indicating that the excitation energies and spectral shapes of the strapped TADAP cations are influenced by the alkylchain lengths.

Redox potentials of 2, 4, 7-Cn, 12, and 13-Cn were measured in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ by cyclic voltammetry using $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$ as the supporting electrolyte. As shown in Figure 3, TADAPs 4 and $7-\mathrm{C} n$ displayed two reversible redox processes with a difference in potentials of $0.64-0.87 \mathrm{~V}$. The $20 \pi / 19 \pi$ half-


Figure 2. Normalized UV-vis-NIR absorption spectra of (a) 4, 5, 6, and 12, (b) 7-C7, 8-C7, 9-C7, 13-C7, (c) 7-C8, 8-C8, 9-C8, 13-C8, and (d) 7-C9, 8-C9, 9-C9, 13-C9 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.


Figure 3. Cyclic voltammograms of 4, 7-C7, 7-C8, and 7-C9. Measured in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$ as a supporting electrolyte. Scan rate was $60 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$.
wave potentials of $4,7-\mathrm{C} 7,7-\mathrm{C} 8$, and $7-\mathrm{C} 9$ were almost identical to each other ( $E_{1 / 2}=-0.46$ to -0.47 V vs ferrocene/ ferrocenium; $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$), indicating that the alkyl-chain straps

Table 1. Optical and Electrochemical Data for TADAPs and Porphyrins ${ }^{a}$

| compd | $\lambda_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{nm}^{\text {b }}(\log \varepsilon)$ | $E / V^{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 445 (5.01), 531 (4.99) | $-0.68,+0.08$ |
| 4 | 380 (4.72), 439 (4.97), 903 (4.54) | $-0.46,+0.19$ |
| 5 | 448 (n.d.), 535 (n.d.) | n.d. |
| 6 | 392 (4.81), 627 (4.51) | n.d. |
| 7-C7 | 444 (5.00), 522 (4.97) | $-0.46,+0.18$ |
| 7-C8 | 444 (5.14), 522 (4.95) | -0.46, +0.41 |
| 7-C9 | 443 (4.96), 523 (4.94) | $-0.47,+0.34$ |
| 8-C7 | 380 (4.61), 439 (4.90), 914 (4.37) | n.d. |
| 8-C8 | 379 (4.61), 438 (4.88), 895 (4.50) | n.d. |
| 8-C9 | 379 (4.60), 438 (4.87), 894 (4.52) | n.d. |
| 9-C7 | 397 (n.d.), 651 (n.d.) | n.d. |
| 9-C8 | 394 (n.d.), 634 (n.d.) | n.d. |
| 9-C9 | 397 (n.d.), 631 (n.d.) | n.d. |
| 12 | 412 (5.57), 527 (4.43), 559 (4.05) | $+0.60,+0.82^{d}$ |
| 13-C7 | 410 (5.55), 524 (4.42), 558 (4.12) | $+0.62^{d, e}$ |
| 13-C8 | 411 (5.51), 524 (4.40), 558 (4.08) | $+0.63^{d, e}$ |
| 13-C9 | 411 (5.61), 524 (4.45), 558 (4.01) | $+0.60{ }^{d, e}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Measured in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. n.d., not determined. ${ }^{b}$ The values of $\lambda_{\text {max }}>$ 350 nm and $\log \varepsilon>4$ are listed. ${ }^{c}$ Half-wave potentials (vs $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$) measured by cyclic voltammetry with $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$ as the electrolyte. ${ }^{d}$ The oxidation processes are listed. ${ }^{e}$ The quasi-reversible or irreversible oxidation peaks were observed at $E_{\mathrm{pa}}=\mathrm{ca} .+1.2-1.35 \mathrm{~V}$ vs $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$.
have a negligible impact on the energies of the HOMO in their $20 \pi$ DAP rings. However, it was observed that the $19 \pi / 18 \pi$ half-wave potentials differ from each other; the substantial reduction potential of $9-\mathrm{C} 7\left(E_{1 / 2}=+0.18 \mathrm{~V}\right)$ is similar to that of $4\left(E_{1 / 2}=+0.19 \mathrm{~V}\right)$, but those potentials are negatively shifted compared with those of 9-C8 $\left(E_{1 / 2}=+0.41 \mathrm{~V}\right)$ and 9C9 $\left(E_{1 / 2}=+0.34 \mathrm{~V}\right)$. These findings suggest that the energy levels of the LUMOs in $9-\mathrm{C} \boldsymbol{n}$ are sensitive toward the alkylchain length although the reason behind this has not been clearly understood. In contrast, the influence of the alkyl-chain length on the $18 \pi / 17 \pi$ redox processes of porphyrins 12 and 13-C $n$ is almost insignificant ( $E_{1 / 2}=+0.60$ to $+0.63 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{vs} \mathrm{Fc} /$ $\mathrm{Fc}^{+}$).

Antiaromaticity and Aromaticity. TADAPs 7-Cn and 9$\mathrm{C} \boldsymbol{n}$ are the ideal candidates for identifying antiaromatic and aromatic characters of the $20 \pi$ and $18 \pi$ porphyrinoids, respectively, as the two unshared electron pairs on their meso-nitrogen atoms are involved in their $\pi$-networks. As mentioned earlier, precise information regarding the ring currents above and below the DAP $\pi$-planes can be obtained by analyzing the chemical shifts of the methylene protons in the bridging alkyl chains of $7 / 9$. The assignment of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ signals was verified by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY. The structures and numbering of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ units of TADAPs $7 / 9$, porphyrins 13, and the reference diesters 14 are illustrated in Figure 4. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the C8-strapped derivatives are shown in Figure 5, and those of the C7- and C9-strapped derivatives are shown in Figures S6 and S7, respectively, in the SI. On an average, 7,9 , and 13 have symmetric structures, as indicated by their NMR spectra. They exhibited four (for 7/9/13-C7 and 7/9/13-C8) or five (for 7/9/13-C9) signals corresponding to the bridging methylene protons and two signals corresponding to the pyrrolic- $\beta$ protons, implying that the conformational changes of their alkyl chains are rapid on the NMR time scale. The chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) of the bridging methylene and pyrrolic-
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Figure 4. Partial structures of (a) 7, 8, and 9 ( $z$ denotes the charge number) and (b) 13. $\mathrm{Ar}^{1}=$ mesityl. (c) Structures of 14.
$\beta$ protons are detailed in Table S4 in the SI. The signals of the pyrrolic- $\beta$ protons ( $f$ and $g$ ) of 7-Cn appeared at 3.59-3.61 and $4.50-4.52 \mathrm{ppm}$, whereas those of $9-\mathrm{C} n$ appeared at $8.77-$ 8.86 and $8.58-8.69 \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively. The high-field and lowfield appearances of these peripheral protons confirm the paratropic $(20 \pi)$ and diatropic ( $18 \pi$ ) ring-current effects in 7 and 9 , respectively. The differences between the chemical shifts $(\Delta \delta)$ of the methylene-proton signals ( $a-e$ ) of TADAPs/ porphyrins (7-Cn, 9-Cn, and 13-Cn) and those of the corresponding reference diesters $\mathbf{1 4 - C} \mathbf{n}$ are plotted in Figure 6. As expected, the $\Delta \delta$ values are mainly related to the global paratropic and diatropic ring-current effects. An analysis of the plot reveals the following information. (i) The absolute $\Delta \delta$ values observed for the C8- and C9-strapped derivatives increase in the order $a<b<c<d$ ( $<e)$, indicating that the inner methylene protons experience stronger shielding/ deshielding effects arising from the ring currents. (ii) The absolute $\Delta \delta$ values of the C7-strapped TADAPs show irregular
orders, $a<c<b<d$ for 7-C7 and $a<c<d<b$ for 9-C7. These results may reflect the low flexibility of the bridging C7-alkyl chains. (iii) The absolute $\Delta \delta$ values of the central methylene units of the C7-strapped derivatives (d) are larger than those of the C9-strapped derivatives (e) for all three $\pi$-electron systems.
(iv) For most methylene protons, the absolute $\Delta \delta$ values of the TADAP dications $9-\mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{n}$ are smaller than those of the corresponding porphyrin counterparts 13-Cn, thus reflecting the difference in the net charge between these two $18 \pi$ electron systems.

To further investigate the ring-current effects, the nucleusindependent chemical shifts (NICS) ${ }^{37-41}$ were evaluated at 73 $\times 73$ lattice positions (from -3.6 to $+3.6 \AA$ for $x$ - and $y$-axes with a pitch of $0.1 \AA$ and from 1 to $5 \AA$ for the $z$-axis with a pitch of $1 \AA$ ) above the $\pi$-planes of the zinc(II) complexes of 5,15-dihydro-5,15-diazaporphyrinoids (DHDAP) 15 ( $20 \pi$ ) and 16 ( $18 \pi$ dication) and the $18 \pi$ porphine 17. These compounds were selected to exclude the excessive electronic


Figure 5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of (a) 7-C8 in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$, (b) 9-C8 in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and (c) 13-C8 in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Asterisks indicate residual solvent peaks. Assignments of $a-d$ are indicated in Figure 4.


Figure 6. Differences in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts $(\Delta \delta)$ of the bridging $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ protons of 7,9 , and 13 vs 14 . For the numbering of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups $(a-e)$, see Figure 4.
effects caused by a nickel atom from the NICS calculations. The isotropic $\operatorname{NICS}(\mathrm{n})$ and their out-of-plane components, NICS(n)zz, are plotted as contour maps in Figure S8 in the SI and Figure 7, respectively. The NICS-scan method reported herein was found to be significantly more coherent than the evaluation using a single NICS value for identifying diamagnetic and paramagnetic ring currents of aromatic and
antiaromatic molecules, respectively. ${ }^{42,43}$ The NICS(n) zz maps provided the following information on the ring-current effects observed in 7, 9, and 13. (1) The absolute NICS(n) zz values of $\mathbf{1 5}, \mathbf{1 6}$, and 17 gradually decrease with an increase in the vertical distance from the $\pi$ plane and horizontal distance from the central axis, thus qualitatively supporting the experimental results. (2) The contour maps of 15 indicate that the global paratropic ring currents are dominant around the central axis at heights of $2-5 \AA$, where some bridging methylene protons may be present. For 15, the local diatropic ring currents are merged above the four pyrrole rings. (3) The absolute NICS(n)zz values of $\mathbf{1 6}$ are considerably smaller than those of 17 at each height ( $n=1-5$ ), suggesting that the neutral porphyrin ring in 13 exhibits larger diatropic ring-current effects on the bridging alkyl chains than those of the cationic TADAP ring in 9 . This explicitly reflects a difference in the $\pi$-electron density between 17 (13) and 16 (9). ${ }^{44,45}$ (4) The irregular orders observed for 7-C7 and 9-C7 (Figure 6) are noteworthy. The absolute $\Delta \delta$ values of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-b$ for these C 7 -strapped derivatives are considerably larger than those of the others, suggesting that the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-b$ units retain their average positions near the DAP rings (Figure S5 in the SI). This is probably because the relatively short alkyl chains cause them to be less flexible. Thus, it can be concluded that the alkyl-chain straps can be used as promising indicators for the experimental identification of the paratropic and diatropic ring currents arising from the $20 \pi$ and $18 \pi$-electron systems, respectively, in DAP/porphyrin rings.

EPR and NMR spectra of $19 \pi$ TADAPs. Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy revealed that an unshared electron spin of TADAP radical cation 8 was completely delocalized over the DAP ring (Figure S9 in the SI). The $g$ values and the hyperfine coupling ( $h f c$ ) constants of 8-C8 are very similar to those of 4 , and the calculated spin distribution of $8-\mathrm{C} 8$ supports the observed fine structure. The $g$ and $h f c$ values of $8-\mathrm{C} 7$ and $8-\mathrm{C} 9$ are almost identical to those of 8 -C8. These results indicate that the alkyl-chain straps have little influence on the delocalization of the unshared electron spin of the strapped $19 \pi$ TADAP radical.
In general, NMR spectroscopy is not used for evaluating the ring-current effects of porphyrin $\pi$-radicals because a strong nuclear-electron spin-spin coupling occurs, which induces fast electronic relaxations and causes significant line-broadening of the peripheral proton peaks. ${ }^{46}$ This behavior was observed for P3, which did not show any detectable NMR signals for the pyrrolic- $\beta$ protons. In contrast, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $8-\mathrm{C} n$ exhibited broadened signals in the range of $1-$ 2.6 ppm due to the bridging methylene protons (Figure 8). Other than these, no assignable ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals were detected. Therefore, it is likely that compared with the pyrrolic- $\beta$ and meso-aryl protons, the spatially separated alkylstrap methylene protons of 8-Cn experience a considerably weaker ring-current effect due to the electron-nucleus spinspin interactions. It is apparent that the $19 \pi$ DAP rings in $8-\mathrm{C} n$ produce negligible ring currents, and thus, they do not alter the chemical shifts of the bridging methylene protons. These findings demonstrate that the strapped TADAPs can also be used as probes to experimentally evaluate the ring-current effects caused by porphyrin-based $19 \pi$-electron systems.

## CONCLUSIONS

Doubly strapped TADAPs in the three different oxidation states, $18 \pi, 19 \pi$, and $20 \pi$, were successfully designed and
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Figure 7. Contour maps of the $\operatorname{NICS}(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{zz}$ values $(n=1,2,3,4,5)$ at the $73 \times 73$ lattice positions (lattice pitch $=0.1 \AA$ for $x$ - and $y$-axes; the zinc center is placed at $x=y=0$ ) calculated by the Hartree-Fock method: (a) $\mathbf{1 5}\left(D_{2 \mathrm{~h}}\right)$, (b) $\mathbf{1 6}\left(D_{2 \mathrm{~h}}\right)$, and (c) $\mathbf{1 7}\left(D_{4 \mathrm{~h}}\right)$.


Figure 8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of (a) 8-C7 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, (b) 8-C8 in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and (c) 8-C9 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Asterisks indicate residual solvent peaks.
synthesized as platforms for the identification of the ring currents arising from these porphyrinoids. In addition, reference compounds, nonstrapped TADAPs, and similarly
strapped $18 \pi$ porphyrins were prepared. The DFT calculations, as well as the preliminary X-ray crystallographic analyses, revealed that regardless of the oxidation states, all strapped TADAPs possess flat $\pi$-planes. The bridging alkyl chains adopt staggered conformations, and depending on the number of the methylene units, these chains occupy different spaces above and below the porphyrin $\pi$-plane. The flexibility of the straps may be somewhat restricted in the cationic TADAPs with short alkyl-chain straps. NMR spectroscopy of the strapped TADAPs clearly confirmed the antiaromatic and aromatic characters of $20 \pi$ and $18 \pi$ TADAPs, respectively. Both the paratropic and diatropic ring-current effects were experimentally evaluated based on the differences in chemical shifts $(\Delta \delta)$ of the bridging methylene protons, which show an insignificant amount of through-bond electronic effects. The observed $\Delta \delta$ values implied that the ring-current effects decreased with increase in separation from the porphyrin $\pi$-plane and central axis of the porphyrin ring. This was in accordance with the NICS(n)zz values calculated for their bare models, $20 \pi$ and $18 \pi$ 5,15-dihydro-5,15-diazaporphyrinoids and $18 \pi$ porphine. It is also noteworthy that the charge, i.e., the $\pi$-electron densities of the $18 \pi$ porphyrin rings, impacted the ring currents; the TADAP dications generated weaker diatropic ring currents than those generated by the porphyrin counter-
parts. This paper provides detailed experimental information on the ring-current effects arising from flat $20 \pi$ and $18 \pi$ porphyrins, which have the same $\pi$-conjugation pathway. Further studies on the development of three-dimensionally functionalized diazaporphyrinoids are being conducted.

## - EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Remarks. All melting points were recorded on a micro melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. NMR spectra were recorded on 700 MHz and/or 400 MHz spectrometers. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts are reported in ppm as relative values vs tetramethylsilane (in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) or a solvent residual signal ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.16 \mathrm{ppm}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ), and the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ chemical shifts are reported in ppm vs $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were measured using an electron spray ionization (ESI)-quadrupole method. UV-vis-NIR absorption spectra were recorded in the range of $300-1000 \mathrm{~nm}$. For some compounds, the relative intensities are reported instead of molar extinction coefficients because a trace amount of oxidized/reduced species was generated during the measurement of sample solutions. IR spectra were obtained using an attenuated total reflection (ATR) method. Electrochemical measurements were performed using a glassy carbon working electrode, a platinum wire counter electrode, and a $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{Ag}^{+}[0.01$ $\left.\mathrm{M} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}, 0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}(\mathrm{MeCN})\right]$ reference electrode. Chemicals and solvents were of reagent grade quality and used without further purification unless otherwise noted. Thin-layer chromatography and preparative column chromatography were performed using silica gel (neutral). All reactions were performed under an argon or nitrogen atmosphere unless otherwise noted.

Synthesis and Characterization of New Compounds. Synthesis of 1. (i) A mixture of 1,9-dichloro-5-mesityldipyrromethene ( $908 \mathrm{mg}, 2.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2,6-dimethoxyaniline ( $1.01 \mathrm{~g}, 6.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{MeCN}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 19 h at room temperature. After being quenched with water, the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to silica-gel column chromatography (hexane $/ \mathrm{AcOEt}=5 / 1$ ). The fraction of $R_{f}=0.4$ (hexane $/ \mathrm{AcOEt}=5 / 1$ ) was collected and evaporated to give 1 -chloro-9-(2,6-dimethoxypheny) amino-5-mesityldipyrromethene 18 (the structure is shown in Figure S10 in the SI) as a red solid $(1.267 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%) . \mathrm{Mp} 82-84^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.04(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.95(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.83(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.33$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. The pyrrolic NH proton was not clearly observed. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 163.1,153.8,144.3$, 137.6, 136.9, 134.2, 133.3, 133.0, 128.7, 127.7, 125.9, 123.1, 117.2, 117.1, 116.4, 109.1, 104.3, 55.9, 21.1, 20.0. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 448.1792; found 448.1774. (ii) A mixture of $18(392 \mathrm{mg}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.444$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 16 h at room temperature. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to leave a solid residue, which was reprecipitated from MeOH to give 1 as a gray solid ( $360 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ). $R_{f}=0.4$ (hexane/ $\mathrm{AcOEt}=5 / 1$ ). Mp 168-170 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI): $m / z[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NiO}_{4} 950.2619$; found 950.2634 . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data/spectra of 1 are not reported because of its paramagnetic character.

Synthesis of 2. A mixture of $\mathbf{1}(351 \mathrm{mg}, 0.368 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 7.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DMF ( 20 mL ) was stirred for 31 h at 110 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being quenched with water, the aqueous layer was extracted with toluene, and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was reprecipitated from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ to give 2 as a reddish-brown solid ( $245 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ). $R_{f}=0.3$ (hexane $/ \mathrm{AcOEt}=5 / 1$ ). $\mathrm{Mp}>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 6.78(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.52(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.04(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.78$ (d, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 160.8,158.0,140.5,137.0,136.5$,
136.2, 133.3, 129.7, 127.2, 116.6, 104.8, 102.1, 79.7, 55.6, 21.0, 19.4. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NiO}_{4} 878.3091$; found 878.3093. UV-vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon) 445$ (5.01), $531 \mathrm{~nm}(4.99)$.

Synthesis of 3. To a solution of $2(193 \mathrm{mg}, 0.220 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}(7.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the mixture was stirred for 16 h at room temperature. After being quenched with water, the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the combined organic extracts were washed with an aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was then reprecipitated from $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give crude 3 as a green solid ( 190 mg ). Although we did not characterize the counteranion of 3 , bromide or bicarbonate is plausible. In the following experiments, the molar quantity of 3 was calculated assuming that the counteranion is bromide. Compound 3 was kept as a solid until the following condensation reactions; HRMS (ESI) m/ $z:[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NiO}_{4}$ 822.2459; found 822.2457. UV-vis-NIR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\text {max }}$ (relative intensity) 388 (1), 443 (0.90), 880 nm (0.30). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data/spectra of 3 are not reported because of its paramagnetic character.

Synthesis of 4. A mixture of $3(31 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{ca} .0 .034 \mathrm{mmol})$, acetic anhydride ( $0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pyridine ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature. After 27 h , an aqueous 1 M HCl solution was added, and the separated organic phase was washed with an aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. To the washed organic phase was added an aqueous $\mathrm{KPF}_{6}$ solution, and the resulting mixture was vigorously stirred for 20 min . The organic phase was separated, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to silica-gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}=40 / 1\right)$. The fraction of $R_{f}=0.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ $\mathrm{MeOH}=20 / 1$ ) was collected and evaporated to leave a solid residue, which was reprecipitated from hexane $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give 4 as a gray solid $(20 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 162\right.$ MHz ): $\delta-143.7$ (septet, $J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}}=716.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:[\mathrm{M}-$ $\left.\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NiO}_{8}$ 990.2882; found 990.2879. IR (ATR): $\nu 1778(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 838(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. UV-vis-NIR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\max }$ $(\log \varepsilon) 380$ (4.72), 439 (4.97), 903 nm (4.54). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data/spectra of 4 are not reported because of its paramagnetic character.

Synthesis of 5. A mixture of $4(6.7 \mathrm{mg}, 5.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, cobaltocene $(1.0 \mathrm{mg}, 5.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and toluene $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a 50 mL flask was sonicated for 5 min at room temperature. The resulting mixture was filtered through a thin silica-gel bed, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The solid residue was reprecipitated from hexane to give 5 as an orange solid ( $4.5 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ). Mp $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.65(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.52(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.86(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. Prolonged standing of 5 in solution caused the generation of a small amount of the $19 \pi$ radical, which hampered in obtaining the high-quality ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 5. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NiO}_{8}$ 990.2887; found 990.2887. IR (ATR): $\nu 1769(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. UV-vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\text {max }}$ (relative intensity) 448 (1), 535 nm (0.79).

Synthesis of 6 . A mixture of $4(4.8 \mathrm{mg}, 4.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{AgPF}_{6}(1.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $4.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 5 min at room temperature. The resulting mixture was filtered through a thin silicagel bed, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The solid residue was reprecipitated from hexane to give 6 as a green solid ( $4.2 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ). $\mathrm{Mp}>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.67$ $(\mathrm{d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.11(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.67(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H})$, $1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H})$. Prolonged standing of 6 in solution caused the generation of a small amount of the $19 \pi$ radical, which hampered in obtaining the high-quality ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 5 . HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} /$ $z:\left[\mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{2+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NiO}_{8} 990.2882(z=1), 495.1438$ $(z=2)$; found $990.2882(z=1), 495.1437(z=2)$. IR (ATR): $\nu 1777$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 834(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. UV-vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon) 392$ (4.81), 627 nm (4.51).

Synthesis of 7. Typical procedure: To a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of 3 (16.7 mg , ca. 0.019 mmol ) was added $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}(3.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the resulting solution was filtered through a thin Celite bed. The
formation of an $18 \pi$ species was confirmed by HRMS spectrometry $\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\left[\mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{z+}\right.$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NiO}_{4} 822.2459(z=1)$, $411.1227(z=2)$; found $822.2457(z=1), 411.1227(z=2)$ ] and UV-vis absorption spectroscopy ( $\lambda_{\max }=386$ and 641 nm in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was dissolved in THF $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by addition of decanedioic acid $(7.2 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.038 mmol ), $N, N^{\prime}$-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC; $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.078$ mmol ), and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP; $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.090 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting solution was stirred for 91 h at room temperature. The mixture was filtered through a paper filter, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in toluene, and the resulting solution was washed with brine several times and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to silica-gel column chromatography (hexane/AcOEt $=5 /$ 1). The fraction of $R_{f}=0.3$ (hexane/AcOEt $=5 / 1$ ) was collected and evaporated to give 7-C8 as an orange solid ( $5.0 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \%$ ). 7-C7 and 7-C9 were similarly prepared by condensation reactions of 3 with nonanedioic acid and undecanedioic acid, respectively. 7-C7: Orange solid, $8.4 \mathrm{mg}(20 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ $6.80(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.61(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.50(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.72$ (quint, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.94(\mathrm{tt}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2$, $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.59(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.36(\mathrm{tt}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.11$ $(\mathrm{t}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{66} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NiO}_{8}$ 1126.4139; found 1126.4135. IR (ATR) $\nu 1769(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. UV-vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon) 444$ (5.00), 522 nm (4.97). 7-C8: $\mathrm{Mp}>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.63(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.51(\mathrm{~s}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 4.75-4.65(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.41-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{tt}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.85(\mathrm{t}$, $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{ESI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{68} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NiO}_{8}$ 1154.4447; found 1154.4436. IR (ATR): $\nu$ $1766(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. UV-vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon) 444(5.14), 522$ nm (4.95). 7-C9: Orange solid, $6.9 \mathrm{mg}(12 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.64(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.51(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.23$ (quint, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.61(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.51(\mathrm{tt}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.96$ $(\mathrm{t}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.88(\mathrm{tt}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.66(\mathrm{tt}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7$, 7.0 Hz ), $2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [M] ${ }^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{70} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NiO}_{8}$ 1182.4765; found 1182.4757. IR (ATR): $\nu 1766$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. UV-vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon) 443(4.96), 523 \mathrm{~nm}$ (4.94). Prolonged standing of $7-\mathbf{C n}(n=7,8,9)$ in solution caused the generation of a small amount of $19 \pi$ radicals, which hampered in obtaining high-quality ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of 7-Cn.

Synthesis of 8. Typical procedure: A mixture of $7-\mathrm{C} 7(11.2 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.0105 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{AgPF}_{6}(2.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 2 min at room temperature. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to silica-gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}=40 / 1\right)$. The fraction of $R_{f}=0.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}=20 / 1\right)$ was collected and evaporated to give 8-C7 as a dark-greenish-yellow solid $(6.9 \mathrm{mg}$, $51 \%$ ). 8-C8 and 8-C9 were similarly prepared from 7-C8 and 7-C9, respectively. 8-C7: $\mathrm{Mp}>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ $2.7-2.3$ (br-s, 8 H ), 2.0-1.2 (br-s, 20 H ). The other ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ signals were not clearly detected. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 162 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta-144.3$ (septet, $J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}}=712.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). HRMS (ESI) $m / z:\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{66} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NiO}_{8}$ 1126.4134; found 1126.4126. IR (ATR): $\nu 1771$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 839(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. UV-vis-NIR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon) 380$ (4.61), 439 (4.90) 914 nm (4.37). 8-C8: Dark-greenish-yellow solid, $3.4 \mathrm{mg}(60 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.6-$ 2.4 (br-s, 8 H ), 2.1-1.9 (br-s, 8H), 1.8-1.6 (br-s, 8 H ), 1.5-1.4 (br-s, 8H). The other ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ signals were not clearly detected. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 162 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta-144.4$ (septet, $\left.J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}}=712.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) . \mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{ESI})$ $m / z:\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{68} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NiO}_{8}$ 1154.4447; found 1154.4436. IR (ATR): $\nu 1766(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 837(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. UV-vis-NIR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon) 379$ (4.61), 438 (4.88), 895 nm (4.50). 8-C9: Dark-greenish-yellow solid, 7.7 mg ( $74 \%$ ). $\mathrm{Mp}>300$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.7-2.3(b r-\mathrm{s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.1-1.9$ (br$\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.8-1.2(\mathrm{br}-\mathrm{s}, 24 \mathrm{H})$. The other ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ signals were not clearly detected. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 162 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta-144.3$ (septet, $J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}}=$ 712.2 Hz ). HRMS (ESI) $m / z:\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{70} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NiO}_{8}$
1182.4760; found 1182.4757. IR (ATR): $\nu 1771$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 838 ( $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}$ ) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. UV-vis-NIR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon) 379$ (4.60), 438 (4.87), $894 \mathrm{~nm}(4.52)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $(400 \mathrm{MHz})$ of $8-\mathrm{C} n$ are shown in Figure 8.

Synthesis of 9. Typical procedure: A mixture of 8-C7 (5.0 mg, 4.0 $\mu \mathrm{mol}), \mathrm{AgPF}_{6}(1.1 \mathrm{mg}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 2 min at room temperature. The mixture was passed through a thin silica-gel bed, and the eluent was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was reprecipitated from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-hexane to give $\mathbf{9 - C} 7$ as a green solid $(4.6 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%) .9-\mathrm{C} 8$ and $9-\mathrm{C} 9$ were similarly prepared from 8-C8 and 8-C9, respectively. 9-C8 could also be prepared by oxidation of 7-C8 with two equivalents of $\mathrm{AgPF}_{6}$. 9-C7: $\mathrm{Mp}>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.85(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.26(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{t}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.26(\mathrm{tt}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}),-0.51$ (quint, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), -1.60 to $-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:\left[\mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{z+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{66} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NiO}_{9} 1126.4134(z=1), 572.7156(z=2)$; found $1126.4126(z=1), 572.7145(z=2)$. IR (ATR): $\nu 1767(\mathrm{C=O}), 837$ $(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. UV-vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\max }$ (relative intensity) 397 (1), 651 $\mathrm{nm}(0.45) .9-\mathrm{C} 8:$ Green solid, $3.7 \mathrm{mg}(63 \%) . \mathrm{Mp} \mathrm{252-254}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.86(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.63(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.21(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34(\mathrm{~s}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{t}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.83(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{tt}$, $8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), -0.61 to $-0.64(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}),-0.73$ to -0.82 (m, 8H). ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 162 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta-144.9$ (septet, $J_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}}=$ 708.3 Hz ). HRMS (ESI) $m / z:\left[\mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{z+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{68} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NiO}_{8} 1154.4447(z=1)$, $577.2221(z=2)$; found $1154.4456(z=1), 577.2207(z=2)$. IR (ATR): $\nu 1769(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $837(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. UV-vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\text {max }}$ (relative intensity) 394 (1), 634 nm (0.46). 9-C9: Green solid, 4.2 mg ( $86 \%$ ). $\mathrm{Mp}>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.77(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.15(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32(\mathrm{~s}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{t}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.83(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{tt}$, $8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}),-0.14(\mathrm{tt}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}),-0.24(\mathrm{tt}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.7,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), -0.29 (quint, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}-$ $\left.2 \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{z+}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{70} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NiO}_{8} 1182.4760(z=1)$, $592.2455(z=$ 2); found $1182.4757(z=1)$, $593.2371(z=2)$. IR (ATR): $\nu 1770$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 835(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. UV-vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\max }$ (relative intensity) 397 (1), 631 nm (0.56). Prolonged standing of 9-Cn ( $n$ $=7,8,9)$ in solution caused the generation of a small amount of $19 \pi$ radicals, which hampered in obtaining high-quality ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $9-\mathrm{Cn}$.

Synthesis of 10. This compound was prepared from 2,6dimethoxybenzaldehyde and pyrrole according to the reported procedure. ${ }^{35}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.92(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.79(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.63(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.00(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H})$, -2.61 (br-s, 2H).

Synthesis of 11. A mixture of $10(110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.144 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(111 \mathrm{mg}, 0.444 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DMF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 19 h at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being quenched with water, the precipitated solid was filtered, and the residue was washed with water $(100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 8)$ and dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the solution was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was then reprecipitated from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-hexane to give 11 as a pink solid (104 $\mathrm{mg}, 88 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.82$ (d, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.56(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.67(\mathrm{br}-\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.81(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 155.9,143.6$, 143.1, 138.8, 138.1, 136.3, 133.1, 131.9, 131.1, 127.9, 118.6, 114.3, 107.8, 104.4, 21.4. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{NiO}_{4}$ 818.2398; found 818.2403. UV-vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon) 411$ (5.53), 525 (4.54), 556 nm (4.08).

Synthesis of 12. A mixture of $11(30.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0392 \mathrm{mmol})$, acetic anhydride ( $0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pyridine ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. After being quenched with water, the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the combined organic extracts were subsequently washed with aqueous HCl and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solutions, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and
evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to silica-gel column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc $=10 / 1$ ). The fraction of $R_{f}=0.3$ (hexane/EtOAc $=10 / 1$ ) was collected and evaporated to leave a solid residue, which was reprecipitated from hexane $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give 12 as a purple solid ( $27.6 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ). $\mathrm{Mp}>$ $300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.58(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.82(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 168.6,151.3,143.2,143.0$, 138.7, 137.9, 137.1, 131.6, 131.1, 129.7, 127.8, 127.5, 120.5, 117.6, 108.2, 21.4, 21.3, 20.1. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{NiO}_{8} 986.2820$; found 986.2815 . IR (ATR): $\nu 1761$ ( $\mathrm{C}=$ O) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. UV-vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon) 412$ (5.57), 527 (4.43), 559 nm (4.05).

Synthesis of 13. Typical procedure: A mixture of 11 ( 31.7 mg , 0.0387 mmol ), decanedioyl dichloride ( $17.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0749 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triethylamine ( $0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(110 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 39 h at room temperature. The mixture was then passed through a paper filter, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to silica-gel column chromatography (hexane/ $\mathrm{AcOEt}=5 / 1$ ). The fraction of $R_{f}=0.3$ (hexane $/ \mathrm{AcOEt}=5 /$ 1) was collected and reprecipitated from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-hexane to give 13C8 as a purple solid ( $7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 16 \%$ ). 13-C7 and 13-C9 were similarly prepared by acylation of 11 with two equivalents of nonanedioyl dichloride and undecanedioyl dichloride, respectively. 13-C7: Purple solid, $24.1 \mathrm{mg}(23 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ $8.65(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.84(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0$ Hz ), $7.47(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{~s}$, $12 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{t}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}),-1.18(\mathrm{tt}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}),-1.39$ $\left(\mathrm{tt}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), -1.74 (quint, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.61(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.55(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.86(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.59(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{t}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}),-1.07(\mathrm{tt}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=8.1,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $-1.57(\mathrm{tt}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), -1.67 (quint, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 171.2,151.9,143.3$, 143.1, 138.5, 137.8, 137.3, 132.0, 130.9, 130.7, 129.1, 127.8, 120.5, 117.4, 108.4, 33.5, 29.6, 27.1, 24.3, 21.4, 21.3. HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : [M] ${ }^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{68} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{NiO}_{8}$ 1122.4072; found 1122.4077. IR (ATR): $\nu 1762(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. UV-vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon) 410$ (5.55), 524 (4.42), 558 nm (4.12). 13-C8: $\mathrm{Mp}>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.70(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.57(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8$ Hz ), $7.83(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.59(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{t}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}),-0.57(\mathrm{tt}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=6.8,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}),-1.47$ to $-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}),-1.70$ to $-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.66(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.85(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{t}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}),-0.56(\mathrm{tt}$, $8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) , -1.50 to $-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}),-1.64$ to -1.77 (m, $8 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 171.3,151.5,143.3,143.1$, 138.7, 137.7, 137.4, 131.9, 130.8, 130.0, 128.6, 127.8, 120.5, 117.6, 108.0, 34.8, 27.5, 27.2, 23.8, 21.5, 21.4. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{70} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{NiO}_{8} 1150.4385$; found 1150.4382. IR (ATR): $\nu 1758$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. UV-vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon) 411$ ( 5.51 ), 524 (4.40), 558 nm (4.08). 13-C9: Purple solid, 10.6 mg (24\%). $\mathrm{Mp}>$ $300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.69(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.57(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.81(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{t}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0$ Hz ), $0.07-0.04(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}),-0.98--1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}),-1.45$ (quint, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.54(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.82(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{t}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.08(\mathrm{tt}, 8 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}),-1.00$ to $-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H})$, -1.42 (quint, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ 171.4, 151.5, 143.0, 142.8, 138.7, 137.7, 137.4, 131.9, 130.9, 129.7, 128.0, 127.8, 120.4, 117.4, 108.1, 33.2, 26.3, 25.6, 23.9, 23.1, 21.5, 21.4. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[M]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{NiO}_{8}$ 1178.4698; found 1178.4711. IR (ATR) $\nu 1759(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. UV-vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \varepsilon) 411$ (5.61), 524 (4.45), $558 \mathrm{~nm}(4.01)$.

Synthesis of 14. Typical procedure: A mixture of phenol ( 174 mg , $1.85 \mathrm{mmol})$, decanedioyl dichloride ( $218 \mathrm{mg}, 0.913 \mathrm{mmol}$ ),
triethylamine ( $0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(35 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 16 h at room temperature. After being quenched with ice-water, the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was then subjected to silica-gel column chromatography (hexane $/ \mathrm{AcOEt}=5 / 1$ ). The fraction of $R_{f}=$ 0.6 (hexane/ $\mathrm{AcOEt}=5 / 1$ ) was collected and evaporated to give 14C8 as a colorless solid ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ ). 14-C7 and 14-C9 were similarly prepared by reactions of phenol with nonanedioyl dichloride and undecanedioyl dichloride, respectively. 14-C7: Colorless solid. 78.1 $\mathrm{mg}(45 \%) . \mathrm{Mp} 69-71{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.39(\mathrm{t}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.58(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.79(\mathrm{tt}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.49-1.45(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.08(\mathrm{t}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.92(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.24(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.55(\mathrm{tt}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.14(\mathrm{tt}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.10$ (quint, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.39(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.56(\mathrm{t}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.75(\mathrm{tt}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.46-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 171.8,151.9,129.9,126.1,122.3$, 34.7, 29.5, 29.4, 25.4. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 341.1747; found 341.1747. IR (ATR): $\nu 1749$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. 14-C8: $\mathrm{Mp} 68-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) : $\delta 7.38(\mathrm{t}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.57(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.78(\mathrm{tt}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.45-1.43(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.12-7.10$ $(\mathrm{d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.09-7.06(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.92(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.26(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.56(\mathrm{tt}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.20-1.16$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.14-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.38$ $(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.55(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.74(\mathrm{tt}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.44-1.42$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ 171.8, 151.9, 129.9, 126.0, 122.3, 34.7, 29.7, 29.6, 25.5. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 355.1904; found 355.1899. IR (ATR): $\nu 1745(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. 14-C9: Colorless solid. 71.4 mg (38\%). Mp 68-70 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.39(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.57(\mathrm{t}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.77(\mathrm{tt}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.50-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.08(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.92(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.27(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.61(\mathrm{tt}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.23-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.39(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.55(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.74(\mathrm{tt}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.44-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 172.0,152.2,130.2,126.3,122.6$, 35.0, 30.2, 30.1, 29.9, 25.8. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{4} 369.2060$; found 369.2060. IR (ATR): $\nu 1748$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

Density Functional Theory Calculations. The geometries were optimized with the density functional theory (DFT) method (for details, see the main text). The basis sets used for the optimization were the $6-311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set ${ }^{47}$ for $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}$, and N and the WachtersHay all-electron basis set ${ }^{48}$ supplemented with one f-function (exponent: 1.29 for $\mathrm{Ni}, 1.62$ for Zn ). The functional of DFT was the Becke, three-parameter, Lee-Yang-Parr (B3LYP) exchangecorrelation functional. ${ }^{49}$ The optimized geometries were confirmed to be minima by vibrational analysis. The Cartesian coordinates and computed total energies are summarized in Table S3 in the SI. The nucleus-independent chemical shift (NICS) was calculated at the Hartree-Fock level with gauge-including atomic orbitals (GIAOs) at the DFT-optimized geometries. The basis set used for the NICS calculations was $6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}) .{ }^{50}$ All of the calculations were carried out using the Gaussian 16 suite of programs. ${ }^{51}$

Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Measurements. The EPR spectra of $19 \pi$ TADAPs 4 and 8 -C $n$ were measured at room temperature. All samples were prepared as a 0.1 mM solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After three freeze-pump-thaw cycles, the solution sample in a quartz tube was sealed by frame. Spectral simulation was performed using EasySpin, ${ }^{52}$ which is a MATLAB toolbox meant for
this. The static magnetic field and microwave frequency were measured by a gauss meter.
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